



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,229	08/28/2001	Patrick J. McLampy	050115-1050	5275
24504	7590	03/31/2008	EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP			SHERKAT, AREZOO	
600 GALLERIA PARKWAY, S.E.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
STE 1500			2131	
ATLANTA, GA 30339-5994			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

***Advisory Action
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief***

Application No.

09/941,229

Examiner

AREZOO SHERKAT

Applicant(s)

MELAMPY ET AL.

Art Unit

2131

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 17 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires ____ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: _____.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
 See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____.

13. Other: _____.

/Ayaz R. Sheikh/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2131

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that "claims 45, 52, and 59 recite "a series of multi-media data flow packets", and further recite that the packets within this series are re-sequenced ("re-sequencing the series of multi-media data flow packets into a pseudo-random order"). Applicant submits that the ciphertext packets 84 in Normile et al. cannot be properly considered to be a re-sequencing of the plaintext packets 82, since the ciphertext packets 84 are not the same as the plaintext packets 82. Similarly, the ciphertext packets 84 in Normile et al. cannot be properly considered to be a re-sequencing of the PN packets 86, since the ciphertext packets 84 are not the same as the PN packets 86" (Remarks, page 7).

Examiner respectfully disagrees and would like to point out that Normile et al. specifically discloses wherein a receiving decoder is synchronized with a transmitting encoder and generates a sequence of decoding data corresponding to the sequence used to encode the data" (Abstract). Therefore, the same sequence being used by the transmitting encoder will be used by the receiving decoder to re-generate the decode communication 34 which are in the same order as the original plaintext data packets 12.

Applicant also argues that "although the Office Action (p. 3) makes a general allegation that Normile et al. discloses this feature, the Office Action does not point to any specific teachings of the reference, making it difficult for Applicant to fairly respond to the rejection. Applicant has reviewed Normile et al. and can find no relevant teaching that can properly correspond to this feature" (Remarks, page 7).

Examiner respectfully disagrees and would like to point out that the previously cited sections from col. 4 and 5, do "inherently" disclose that in the encoder 20, the devideed up string of plaintext data, i.e., plaintext data packets 12, have to be temporarily stored/kept in some sort of temporary buffer before they are combined with encoding sequence packets 18 to create the encoded data packets 14, before they finally leave the transmitter 10 for the network 21 and ultimately to reach the receiver 22.